

# Investing in Millennials Through an Economy that Works for All

Testimony Before the U.S. House of Representatives Committee on the Budget Hearing on Restoring the Trust for Young Americans

Rebecca D. Vallas, Esq., Director of Policy, Poverty to Prosperity Program Center for American Progress September 9, 2015

#### Introduction

Thank you, Chairman Price, Ranking Member Van Hollen, and members of the committee for the invitation to appear before you today. My name is Rebecca Vallas, and I am the Director of Policy of the Poverty to Prosperity Program at the Center for American Progress.

Millennials—Americans ages 18 to 34<sup>1</sup>—are facing great financial pressures and challenges to economic stability. As a generation, Millennials were hit hard by the Great Recession, which coincided with the formative years of our careers and served to add insult to injury after decades of stagnant and declining wages. The real median annual incomes of young people have plummeted over the past three decades, meaning that members of the Millennial generation are making thousands of dollars less each year in real terms than previous generations did when they were 18 to 34.2 What's more, many Millennials are earning very low wages. More than 70 percent of minimum wage workers are 16 to 34, but the minimum wage is lower in real terms today than it was in 1968.<sup>3</sup>

Millennials are also facing high rates of unemployment. Despite the recent decline in the national unemployment rate—which hit 5.3 percent in June, its lowest rate in seven years—unemployment remains higher than 12 percent for jobless 16- to 24-year-olds looking for work and 5.6 percent for 25- to 34-year-olds—compared to 3.7 percent for workers 35 and older. In addition, Millennials face record-breaking levels of student debt, with the class of 2015 facing an average debt burden of more than \$35,000.5 Thus, despite being more educated than previous generations, Millennials face higher poverty rates than other generations before us did at the same age,6 and today, more than 1 in 5 young people ages 18 to 24 live in poverty.<sup>7</sup>

Making matters worse, Millennials are facing these financial challenges right as they are starting their own families. Forty percent of Millennials are already parents, and that share is expected to double over the next 10 to 15 years.8 As new parents know all too well, childrearing brings its own set of steep costs. Diapers alone cost nearly \$1,000 per child each year on average.9 And this influx of costs comes long before new parents reach their peak earning years, which occur at age 51 for the typical American worker.<sup>10</sup>

Despite claims to the contrary, Millennials are not facing economic challenges because our nation is spending too much on social insurance programs such as Social Security, Medicare, and Medicaid. Since the programs were put in place, alarmists have claimed that America's bedrock social insurance programs are putting us on the brink of financial ruin and that the only solutions are to slash benefits or privatize vital programs. Indeed, a 1935 article in *Time* Magazine summarizing Republicans' opposition to Social Security sounds a lot like the scare tactics some are peddling to Millennials today: "Wage earners, you will pay and pay in taxes ... and when you are very old, you will have an I.O.U. which the US Government may make good [on] if it is still solvent."11

Such doomsday predictions were wrong 80 years ago—and they are wrong today. The challenges Millennials are facing are the result of an off-kilter economy that is only working for the wealthy and big corporations and is leaving average Americans squeezed by rising costs and flat incomes. What our generation needs in order in order to "restore our trust" is policies that invest in job creation and expand opportunity—not a continued fixation on austerity at the expense of economic growth, or the dismantling of our nation's bedrock social insurance programs, which our generation stands to need more than ever. By this measure, the FY 2016 congressional budget and related appropriations bills—which make deep cuts to investments in infrastructure, education, job training, health insurance, and more to pay for tax cuts for the wealthy and corporations—are the opposite of what Millennials need in order to get ahead.

I will make three main points today:

 Our nation's social insurance system provides vital protection to American workers and their families, and it is especially important to Millennials. Programs such as Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid, Unemployment Insurance, and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program protect tens of millions of Americans from poverty and provide peace of mind that we will not become destitute in the event of disability, ill health, job loss, retirement, or loss of a breadwinner.

- The fiscal challenges the nation faces are manageable—and it would be pennywise and pound-foolish to continue misguided austerity at the expense of economic growth. Our budget deficit has shrunk dramatically in recent years, and further sequestration—let alone deeper spending cuts—is unnecessary from a fiscal perspective. Needless cuts to vital programs would not only be harmful to current and future generations, but would cost jobs and hamper growth, potentially worsening Millennial unemployment.
- We can reduce the long-term deficit and invest in Millennials. Some claim that if we
  were to spend less on our nation's seniors, we could afford to invest more in policies
  and programs that benefit younger Americans. This narrative sets up a false choice.
  With the right budget choices, we can invest in young people, strengthen the middle
  class, ensure the long-term solvency of our bedrock social insurance programs, and
  address our long-term deficit.

# Our social insurance system is vital to Millennials

Just this summer, we commemorated the 80th anniversary of Social Security and the 50th anniversary of Medicare and Medicaid. For the better part of a century, these programs have provided critical protection to American workers and their families and peace of mind that in the event of retirement, illness, or loss of a breadwinner, we will be protected from destitution. While these programs are often associated with the elderly, they provide critical benefits to Americans of all ages, and are—and will be—especially important to the Millennial generation.

#### Social Security

Social Security is the most successful income security program in our nation's history, protecting nearly all American workers and their families in case of death, disability, or retirement and keeping some 22 million Americans out of poverty each year. While Social Security is often thought of as a retirement program, nearly one-third of Social Security beneficiaries are not retirees; in fact, it is the largest provider of income assistance to children, providing benefits to 3.4 million children whose parents are disabled, retired, or deceased. Nearly 3.5 million Millennials currently benefit from Social Security. Moreover, nearly all American workers—9 in 10—benefit from the protection that Social Security offers against the "hazards and vicissitudes of life." The disability and life insurance that the program provides are especially important in this regard, as a 20-year-old worker just starting out today faces a 1 in 4 chance of dying or becoming disabled before reaching full retirement age.

The economic protection that Social Security provides will be especially important to the Millennial generation, due to an increasingly inadequate private retirement system. Just 40 percent of workers under age 35 even have an employer-provided retirement plan, <sup>16</sup> and defined benefit plans have largely become a thing of the past, now replaced by defined contribution plans. Add in the fact that half of workers under 35 have no retirement savings at all and have amassed significantly less wealth than the previous generation had at our age, <sup>17</sup> and Social Security will be more important than ever for our generation when we are ready to retire.

Moreover, as our parents reach old age, Social Security will ease the financial burden of their retirement on us: their children. Nearly two-thirds of seniors count on Social Security for most of their income, and for 3 in 10 seniors, it is their only source of income. With an average retirement benefit of just \$1,290 per month, or about \$15,480 a year, 9 most beneficiaries are not living in luxury—and cuts would push them into or deeper into poverty, leaving many dependent on their working-age children to keep a roof over the heads and put food on the table. Millennials who only recently moved out of their parents' homes could find their parents moving in with *them*—a scary thought even for those of us who get along with our parents.

#### Medicare and Medicaid

Signed into law as part of the Social Security amendments of 1965, Medicare and Medicaid have been core components of the American health care system for the past 50 years. In 1964, just half of American seniors had health insurance—a figure that jumped to 96 percent after Medicare's implementation.<sup>20</sup> While Medicaid initially provided health insurance only to low-income children and people with disabilities, the program was later expanded under Presidents Reagan and George H.W. Bush to cover pregnant women and children. In 2014, Medicare and Medicaid together covered roughly one-third of the U.S. population.<sup>21</sup> In addition to providing traditional health insurance coverage, Medicaid is also the primary source of long-term care coverage for seniors and people with disabilities.

The Affordable Care Act, or ACA, is the latest chapter in the American health insurance story, expanding access to health insurance for millions of Americans and cutting the uninsured rate nearly in half.<sup>22</sup> The ACA has proven particularly important for Millennials. Before the ACA, privately insured young adults were more than twice as likely as older adults to lose their insurance coverage in the course of a year—often, because of aging out of a parent's plan, graduating from school, or switching jobs.<sup>23</sup> Additionally, as many as 30 million 18- to 34-year-olds have some type of pre-existing condition, meaning that before the ACA took effect, they could have been denied coverage altogether.<sup>24</sup> Since the opening of the marketplace, more than 10 million Americans have gained insurance coverage, reducing the number of uninsured by more than one-

quarter in just one year; the age group with the largest coverage gains is 18 to 34-year-olds. And expanded access to coverage in young adulthood may yield substantial benefits for young workers' careers by allowing them to obtain additional education and choose the jobs that best match their career goals. <sup>26</sup>

## Unemployment Insurance, SNAP, and tax credits for working families

Other vital programs such as Unemployment Insurance, or UI, the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program, or SNAP, and tax credits for working families, also offer critical protection against hardship. In fact, without vital safety net programs, the nation's poverty rate would be nearly twice as high as it is today.<sup>27</sup>

While UI benefits are modest, averaging just more than \$300 per week, UI protected more than 5 million Americans from poverty in 2009, when unemployment was at historic heights. UI is especially important to Millennials, as younger workers are disproportionately likely to experience job loss and spells of unemployment. <sup>29</sup>

SNAP protected nearly 5 million Americans from poverty in 2013.<sup>30</sup> And the Earned Income Tax Credit, or EITC, and the Child Tax Credit, or CTC, protected more than 6.5 million and 3 million Americans from poverty in 2012, respectively.<sup>31</sup> These programs are also particularly important to younger workers, who are more likely to work in low-wage jobs and to benefit from the income boost these programs provide. For example, 14 million Millennials received the EITC and/or the low-income portion of the CTC in 2012.<sup>32</sup>

In addition to providing critical economic protection to individuals and families, each of these programs serves as a powerful source of economic stimulus, by putting dollars in the pockets of struggling workers who will then go out and spend them in their local communities. This in turn boosts demand—to the tune of between \$1.50 and \$2.00 in economic activity for every dollar that flows to struggling families from one of these programs.<sup>33</sup> UI is estimated to have created nearly 2 million jobs at the height of the Great Recession<sup>34</sup> and to have prevented 1.4 million foreclosures between 2009 and 2012.<sup>35</sup> Indeed, if emergency unemployment compensation had been extended past December 2013, it would have created an additional 200,000 jobs in 2014, according to the Congressional Budget Office.<sup>36</sup>

What's more, these programs not only mitigate hardship in the short-term—research shows that investments in SNAP, the EITC, and the CTC all boost mobility in the long-term as well, by improving children's health, education, and employment outcomes as adults.<sup>37</sup> Thus, investments in these vital programs are investments in our next generation.

## We should avoid misguided austerity at the expense of economic growth

The budget deficit for FY 2014 stood at \$485 billion, or 2.8 percent of gross domestic product, or GDP—down from \$1.4 trillion, or 9.8 percent of GDP in FY 2009. The Congressional Budget Office projects that the deficit will remain less than 3 percent of GDP until FY 2020 and rise to 3.7 percent of GDP by FY 2025. The United States has thus achieved substantial deficit reduction over the past several years.<sup>38</sup>

While many of the policies recommended by the Bowles-Simpson commission in 2010 were flawed, the report offers a useful illustration of why sequestration—let alone additional, deeper spending cuts—is unnecessary from a fiscal perspective. The Bowles-Simpson commission recommended \$1.7 trillion in discretionary spending cuts between FY 2011 and FY 2020. Using the same baseline budget projections as Bowles-Simpson, we have not only met that target, but actually have exceeded it, even if sequestration is fully repealed starting in FY 2016.<sup>39</sup> What's more, due in large part to significant reductions in federal spending on health care, even assuming that sequestration is repealed going forward, total federal spending from FY 2011 to FY 2020 is projected to be \$2.6 trillion less than the levels recommended by Bowles-Simpson. 40 Thus, while more work remains to be done to address our long-term fiscal challenges, particularly on the tax side of the ledger, our nation's budget outlook has improved substantially in recent years.

Moreover, policymakers should keep in mind that cuts to critical investments supported by discretionary spending, such as housing, education, and job training, come at great cost not only to individuals and communities, but also to the larger U.S. economy. In fact, a 2013 study by Macroeconomic Advisers found that cuts in discretionary spending have slowed annual GDP growth by 0.7 percentage points since 2010, increased the nation's unemployment rate by 0.8 percentage points, and cost our economy 1.2 million jobs. 41

While the Murray-Ryan budget agreement offered temporary relief from sequestration, the FY 2016 budget and related appropriations bills would make deep cuts to infrastructure, affordable housing, Pell Grants, and other critical investments that create jobs and level the playing field. In addition to causing great hardship to American families, these cuts would have broader economic ramifications; for example, an analysis by the Center for American Progress finds that the nutrition assistance cuts would cost our economy 286,000 jobs in the first year alone. 42 As lawmakers weigh the options for a new budget agreement this fall, it is well worth remembering President Obama's words of caution in a 2013 speech: "A relentlessly growing deficit of opportunity is a bigger threat to our future than our rapidly shrinking fiscal deficit."43

In addition to the tremendous deficit reduction achieved over the past several years, we have also seen substantial reductions in health care spending thanks to the Affordable Care Act. In fact, the Congressional Budget Office estimates that the ACA's cost-containment provisions will reduce the federal deficit by some \$100 billion over the coming decade. 44 Additionally, CBO has reduced its spending projections for Medicare and Medicaid in 2020 by \$197 billion, due primarily to the slowdown in health care spending. 45 Those who care about fiscal discipline should be wary of any proposal that repeals the ACA, given the immense challenge of enacting policies that curb health care costs in the long-term. Moreover, repealing the ACA would increase deficits by an estimated \$353 over 10 years.46

Historic levels of income inequality pose a much greater threat to our nation's economic health than our rapidly falling budget deficits. Indeed, a growing body of research—including from the World Bank, the International Monetary Fund, and the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development—finds that excessive income inequality not only slows income growth for those at the bottom of the economic ladder, it also undermines our nation's economic growth writ large.<sup>47</sup> Rising income inequality also takes a substantial toll on vital programs. For example, analysis by the Center for American Progress finds that if not for recent trends in income inequality over the past three decades, the Social Security trust funds would be more than \$1.1 trillion greater today.<sup>48</sup>

Notably, while many focus on the fact that Social Security faces a projected shortfall over the next 75 years, this challenge is both expected and manageable, and it does not present an urgent crisis. Social Security has a large and growing surplus, and the program will be able to pay all promised benefits for the next nearly two decades. Importantly, Social Security does not add a penny to the deficit. The program has no borrowing authority, and it cannot deficit-spend.

While the Social Security Disability Insurance trust fund is projected to deplete its reserves at the end of 2016, all that is needed to prevent DI's shortfall is a temporary, modest reallocation of payroll taxes.<sup>49</sup> This step has been taken routinely over the years, about equally in both directions, whenever either of the trust funds—Old Age and Survivors Insurance, or OASI, and Disability Insurance, or DI—has needed to be shored up.<sup>50</sup> Enacting such a reallocation—as President Obama called for in his FY 2016 budget—would ensure that both trust funds are on sound footing until 2034, providing ample time for lawmakers to work together and find common ground to address Social Security's 75-year shortfall.

Moreover, as noted previously, a significant driver of Social Security's shortfall is rising income inequality. Policies that address excessive income inequality and boost wages would thus improve the program's financial outlook. Additionally, the modest step of restoring the payroll tax cap to the level where President Reagan set it in 1983—at 90 percent of covered wages—would on its own close more than one-quarter of the projected 75-year shortfall.<sup>51</sup> Lifting the cap further or eliminating it entirely would improve the program's fiscal outlook even more substantially.

Put simply, there is no fiscal imperative to cut Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid, or other vital programs. Indeed, the Center for American Progress has shown that not only can the United States afford to secure these programs for current and future generations without cutting benefits, we can strengthen Social Security benefits for elderly and disabled Americans, while investing in young people, strengthening the middle class, and reducing the long-term deficit. 52 These goals are not mutually exclusive.

Moreover, Millennials value these programs highly and want to see them strengthened not cut. For example, in a recent survey conducted by the nonpartisan National Academy of Social Insurance, not only were Millennial respondents strongly opposed to cutting Social Security, 80 percent indicated that they view Social Security as "more important than ever," and more than three-quarters expressed support for increasing benefits—and were willing to pay more to make that happen.<sup>53</sup> Additionally, polling by the Center for American Progress shows that Millennials are similarly supportive of expanding nutrition assistance (85 percent), expanding tax credits for working families (80 percent), and extending the duration of jobless benefits during downturns (70 percent).54

#### We can reduce the deficit and invest in Millennials

Some claim that if we were to spend less on our nation's seniors, we could afford to invest more in policies and programs that benefit younger Americans. This narrative sets up a false choice. As noted previously, the Center for American Progress has shown that with the right budget choices, we can invest in young people, strengthen the middle class, ensure the long-term solvency of our bedrock social insurance programs, and address our long-term deficit.

Moreover, when proponents of this narrative design budgets that cut spending for older Americans, they do not invest those savings in young people. For example, the FY 2016 congressional budget slashes health care for seniors, while also cutting Pell Grants, job training, child care and early education, and nutrition assistance that keeps millions of young families out of poverty—all to pay for lopsided tax cuts for the wealthy and corporations. What's more, not only do the congressional budget's spending cuts target programs that are vital for young people, they would also slow job growth when Millennials are in dire need of policies to create more jobs. 55

Another fiction we cannot afford to maintain is that tax cuts for the wealthy will yield economic growth. Rather than failed trickle-down policies, it is a strong middle class that generates economic growth.<sup>56</sup> The middle class produces not just the U.S. consumer base, but also an educated workforce and base of entrepreneurs that can drive the next wave of innovation and jobs. Moreover, analysis by the Center for American Progress

has shown that U.S. regions that have larger and stronger middle classes tend to have greater economic mobility for those at the bottom of the economic ladder.<sup>57</sup> In order to build an economy that works for Millennials, we must therefore build an economy that works for all Americans—not just the ultra-wealthy and big corporations.

And third, it is long past time that lawmakers acknowledge in a bipartisan fashion that we cannot address our long-term fiscal challenges with spending cuts alone—not without gutting or outright eliminating investments that are critical to retirement security, infrastructure, innovation, and security, as well as opportunity and mobility for the next generation. The FY 2016 House budget serves as a stark illustration of this fact, as it derives more than two-thirds of its nondefense cuts from programs that serve low- and moderate-income Americans. 58 Notably, bipartisan fiscal commissions consistently recognize that a responsible long-term budget plan must include additional tax revenues. And when the Peter G. Peterson Foundation asked the Center for American Progress and four other think tanks across the ideological spectrum to produce long-term budget plans earlier this year, every single organization—including the conservative think tanks—designed plans that included new tax revenue.59

Furthermore, polling by the Center for American Progress and Hart Research Associates shows that Millennials support rebalancing the lopsided tax code and asking the wealthiest Americans to pay their fair share. However, with a majority of the House of Representatives and nearly half of the Senate signed on to Americans for Tax Reform's "Taxpayer Protection Pledge," a substantial swath of Congress continues to put the interests of the wealthy and big corporations ahead of the investments that Millennials need and want in order to get ahead.

The step-up in basis for capital gains—which shields large sums of wealth from taxation when they are passed down to inheritors—provides an exemplar of how our tax code is skewed in favor of the wealthy. At a cost of more than \$60 billion annually, spending on this single policy that largely benefits adult children of the wealthiest Americans exceeds all current spending on our nation's 74 million children through the Child Tax Credit.<sup>60</sup> Likewise, with a price tag of more than \$64 billion per year, the deferral of taxes on foreign corporate income—a tax break that allows multinational corporations to delay paying taxes on overseas profits—is one of the most costly expenditures in our corporate tax code. The cost of this one tax break equals our nation's total spending on the Earned Income Tax Credit, which helps more than 26 million working families make ends meet.61

In order to restore the trust for Millennials, lawmakers must rebalance our lopsided tax code and build an economy that works for us—not just the wealthy and big corporations. The following are five key priorities to boost economic stability and expand opportunity for our generation.

#### Create good jobs

In order to help Millennials get a foothold in the labor market, lawmakers should support investments that grow the economy and spur job creation, such as infrastructure, education, and research, as well as policies that set up pathways to good jobs. For example, in 2009, Congress passed the Serve America Act to create 250,000 national service positions, but it never funded the program.<sup>62</sup> Fully funding the Serve America Act would help young Americans seeking early employment opportunities kick off their careers.

Similarly, lawmakers should support subsidized jobs, which offer a win-win strategy for helping disadvantaged workers—such as those with limited education or work experience, people with criminal records, and non-college-bound youth—get a foot in the door of the labor market, while simultaneously helping businesses and the economy.<sup>63</sup> CAP has proposed a national subsidized jobs program modeled on the successful TANF Emergency Fund, which put more than 260,000 Americans to work at the height of the recession through bipartisan cooperation in the states. Half of the positions created by the TANF Emergency Fund were for youth employment.<sup>64</sup>

#### Boost wages

Decades of stagnant and declining wages coupled with ever-rising costs have meant that American families are having a much harder time making ends meet. This is particularly true for young workers, for whom real medial annual incomes have plummeted over the past three decades. And when young Americans are hurting and cannot afford to spend, the entire economy suffers. Boosting wages is critical to ensuring both Millennials' economic stability and the growth of the economy.

If lawmakers are serious about boosting economic stability and opportunity for Millennials, they should embrace raising the minimum wage. America's current federal minimum wage is a poverty wage, and many full-time minimum wage workers must turn to public assistance programs to make ends meet. By allowing businesses to pay their workers poverty wages, lawmakers have not only let low-wage employers off the hook—they have left American taxpayers to foot the bill: Researchers at the University of California-Berkeley have found that the low wages cost American taxpayers a staggering \$152 billion each year.65

In 1968, the federal minimum wage was enough to keep a family of 3 out of poverty.<sup>66</sup> Had it kept up with inflation since then, it would be almost \$11 per hour today, compared to the current \$7.25 an hour.<sup>67</sup> Young workers are especially in need of a raise, as 70 percent of minimum wage workers are 16- to 34-years old. 68 Raising the minimum wage to \$12 by 2020 would give more than 2 million young workers a raise, 69 while also creating budgetary savings in programs such as the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program—to the tune of nearly \$53 billion over 10 years.<sup>70</sup>

## Ensure an adequate social insurance system

As noted previously, the U.S. poverty rate would be nearly twice as high without vital programs such as Social Security, nutrition assistance, and tax credits for working families. Without these critical investments, nearly 40 million more Americans would be poor today. 71 Moreover, anti-poverty programs not only mitigate hardship in the short term, but also serve as an investment that pays dividends in the long term, by improving children's long-term health, education, and employment outcomes.<sup>72</sup>

Furthermore, as discussed in detail earlier in this testimony, many of these programs are especially important to Millennials. For example, nutrition assistance and low-income tax credits are particularly vital to younger workers, who are more likely to work in lowwage jobs and to benefit from the income boost these programs provide. Unemployment Insurance is especially critical for younger workers, who are disproportionately likely to experience job loss and spells of unemployment. And Social Security will be more important than ever to our generation, due to our failing private retirement system—as well as an important buffer that will keep our parents from becoming our roommates.

If lawmakers are serious about boosting economic stability for Millennials, preserving and strengthening vital social insurance and safety net programs must be a top priority. Examples of policies that would particularly benefit young people include: making permanent key provisions of the Earned Income Tax Credit, or EITC, and the Child Tax Credit, or CTC, currently set to expire in 2017, which would cause some 6.3 million Millennials to lose all or part of their credits;<sup>73</sup> expanding the EITC for young workers and workers without qualifying children;<sup>74</sup> and strengthening the CTC to better help young families offset the costs of childrearing through full refundability and a supplemental young child tax credit, as proposed in a recent Center for American Progress report.<sup>75</sup>

Additionally, lawmakers should embrace reform of counterproductive asset limits in aid programs, which penalize savings and ownership and prevent struggling workers from getting ahead. 76 This is particularly important for Millennials, given that roughly half of Americans ages 18 to 34 report that they could "probably" or "certainly" not come up with \$2,000 in 30 days.<sup>77</sup> And state lawmakers should expand Medicaid in states that have not already done so to further reduce the coverage gap for young people; nearly half of all currently uninsured young adults would qualify for Medicaid under full expansion, but they will remain without coverage unless and until their states opt to expand.<sup>78</sup>

#### Adopt work-family policies

Forty percent of Millennials are already parents, and that share is expected to double over the next 10 to 15 years, with roughly 9,000 Millennial women giving birth every day.<sup>79</sup> Meanwhile, nearly two-thirds of families with children rely on a mother's paycheck—yet the nation's workforce policies have failed to keep pace with the times, dampening working mothers' ability to remain employed and climb the economic ladder.

To boost economic stability and expand opportunity for Millennials, lawmakers should enact policies such as paycheck fairness, paid family leave, 80 and incentives for states to enact paid sick days legislation to ensure that workers do not have to make impossible choices between a needed job or paycheck and caring for themselves or a loved one. These policies would be particularly beneficial for young workers, who are disproportionately likely to work in low-wage jobs that do not provide paid leave or paid sick days. Additionally, ensuring affordable, high-quality child care is crucial not only to give children a strong head start, but also to enable parents to return to the workforce. CAP has proposed a new High-Quality Child Care Tax Credit that would help low-income and middle-class families access affordable, high-quality child care. 81

#### Invest in human capital

The jobs of the 21st century require a highly trained workforce, often with the levels of knowledge and skills that are best acquired through higher education. Yet access to higher education is moving farther and farther out of reach for many Americans, and the class of 2015 is facing record-breaking levels of student debt. CAP has developed several proposals to expand access to higher education. For example, CAP's College for All plan would ensure that any student attending public college would not be asked to pay any tuition or fees during enrollment, removing a significant barrier to access. 82 CAP's proposed Public College Quality Compact would reverse state disinvestment in higher education while improving the quality and affordability of public colleges and universities.<sup>83</sup>

Additionally, CAP has proposed harnessing the EITC as a pathway to higher education, by making workers who receive the EITC categorically eligible for the maximum Pell Grant, to connect low-wage workers with the education and training they need to access higher-paying jobs and reduce barriers to accessing Pell Grants. 84 Furthermore, reforms to increase the reach of Pell Grants—such as reducing the number of weeks of instructional time required to access Pell; restoring Pell Grants for students without a high school diploma through a rigorous "ability to benefit process"; and expanding the definition of qualifying educational activities to include GED programs, adult basic education, and certified training programs—would boost Pell Grants' power to promote upward economic mobility for young people just starting out.85

What's more, apprenticeships offer an opportunity to master marketable skills while receiving a paycheck, creating pathways to well-paying careers for young workers.86 President Obama's FY 2016 budget proposal would double the number of registered apprenticeships over the next five years. 87 Expanding apprenticeships would enable unemployed young workers to obtain valuable credentials without needing to leave the workforce.

#### Conclusion

As a generation, Millennials are facing significant economic challenges, most notably stagnant and declining wages, high rates of unemployment, and record-breaking levels of student debt. However, we are not facing these challenges because our nation is spending too much on vital social insurance programs such as Social Security, Medicare, and Medicaid. The challenges Millennials are facing are the result of an off-kilter economy that is only working for the wealthy and big corporations and leaving the rest of us squeezed by rising costs and flat incomes.

What our generation needs in order to restore our trust is policies that invest in job creation, boost economic stability, and expand opportunity—not a continued fixation on austerity at the expense of economic growth, or the dismantling of our nation's bedrock social insurance programs, which our generation stands to need more than ever. The only people taking anything away from our generation are those who support policies that cut jobs, slow growth, and rob us of a secure retirement by slashing successful social insurance programs. Millennials should reject this false choice, as our nation can afford to invest in young people while strengthening the middle class, ensuring the long-term solvency of vital social insurance programs, and addressing our long-term deficit.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify today, and I would be happy to take any questions you may have.

Rebecca Vallas is the Director of Policy for the Poverty to Prosperity Program at American Progress.

#### Endnotes

- 1 There is not universal agreement on how to define the Millennial generation, but most sources identify it as those born between about 1980 and about 2000. This testimony focuses primarily on Millennial adults but also provides information on younger Millennials where relevant.
- 2 Steven Rattner, "We're Making Life Too Hard for Millennials," The New York Times, July 31, 2015, available at http://www. nytimes.com/2015/08/02/opinion/sunday/were-makinglife-too-hard-for-millennials.html.
- 3 Sunny Frothingham, Rachel West, Melissa Boteach, and Rebecca Vallas, "Strengthening the Child Tax Credit Would Provide Greater Economic Stability for Millennial Parents" (Washington: Center for American Progress, 2015), available at https://cdn.americanprogress.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/08/26125830/CTCmillennials-brief.pdf.
- 5 Zeeshan Aleem, "It's Official: The Class of 2015 Has the Most Student Loan Debt in History," Policy. Mic, May 7, 2015, available at http://mic.com/articles/117644/it-s-official-theclassof-2015-has-the-most-student-loan-debt-in-history
- 6 Bureau of the Census, "New Census Bureau Statistics Show How Young Adults Today Compare With Previous Genera tions in Neighborhoods Nationwide," Press release, December 2, 2014, available at http://www.census.gov/newsroom/ press-releases/2014/cb14-219.html.
- 7 Center for American Progress and Generation Progress, "On Ten Poverty and Opportunity Profile: Millennials," February 20, 2014, available at http://genprogress.org/ ideas/2014/02/20/25496/half-in-ten-report-2014-on-poverty-and-opportunity-profile-millennials/.
- 8 Maureen Morrison, "Study: Millennial Parents Just Like Those from Previous Generations," Advertising Age, October 3, 2013, available at http://adage.com/article/news/millennials/244523/.
- 9 Megan V. Smith and others, "Diaper Need and Its Impact on Child Health," Pediatrics 132 (2) (2013): 253-259, available at http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/ early/2013/07/23/peds.2013-0597.full.pdf.
- 10 Frothingham, West, Boteach, and Vallas, "Strengthening the Child Tax Credit Would Provide Greater Economic Stability for Millennial Parents."
- 11 Nancy Altman, The Battle for Social Security: From FDR's Vision to Bush's Gamble (John Wiley & Sons, 2005).
- 12 Social Security Administration Office of Research, Evaluation, and Statistics, "Fast Facts & Figures About Social Security, 2014" (2014), available at http://www.ssa.gov/ policy/docs/chartbooks/fast\_facts/2014/fast\_facts14.pdf.
- 13 Sarah Ayres Steinberg, "Top 5 Reasons Young People Should Fight to Strengthen – Not Cut – Social Security" (Washington: Center for American Progress, 2013), available at https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/economy/ news/2013/11/06/78918/top-5-reasons-young-peopleshould-fight-to-strengthen-not-cut-social-security/.
- 14 Ibid.
- 15 Rebecca Vallas and Shawn Fremstad, "Social Security Disability Insurance: A Bedrock of Security for American Workers" (Washington: Center for American Progress, 2015), available at https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/poverty/report/2015/06/16/115195/social-security-disabilityinsurance-a-bedrock-of-security-for-american-workers/.
- 16 Sarah Ayres Steinberg, "Top 5 Reasons Young People Should Fight to Strengthen – Not Cut – Social Security," Center for American Progress, November 6, 2013, available at https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/economy/news/2013/11/06/78918/top-5-reasons-young-peopleshould-fight-to-strengthen-not-cut-social-security/.
- 17 Ibid.

- 18 Michelle Stegman Bailey and Jeffrey Hemmeter, "Characteristics of Noninstitutionalized DI and SSI Program Participants, 2010 Update" (Washington: Social Security Administration Office of Research, Evaluation, and Statistics, 2014), available at http://www.ssa.gov/policy/docs/rsnotes/ rsn2014-02.pdf.
- 19 Social Security Administration, "Monthly Statistical Snapshot, July 2015" (Washington: Social Security Administration, 2015), available at http://www.ssa.gov/policy/docs/ quickfacts/stat\_snapshot/#table2.
- 20 Justin Morgan, "The 50th Anniversary of Medicare and Medicaid: Providing Hope for the ACA's Future" (Washington: Center for American Progress, 2015), available at https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/healthcare/ news/2015/07/30/118395/the-50th-anniversary-of-medicare-and-medicaid-providing-hope-for-the-acas-future/.
- 21 Ibid
- 22 Prior to the ACA, the uninsured rate was 15.7 percent. During the first three months of 2015, the uninsured rate had dropped to 9.2 percent. ObamaCare Facts, "Uninsured Rates," available at http://obamacarefacts.com/uninsuredrates/ (last accessed September 2015).
- 23 Karyn Schwartz and Benjamin D. Sommers, "Young Adults are Particularly Likely to Gain Stable Health Insurance Coverage as a Result of the Affordable Care Act" (Washington: U.S. Department of Health & Human Services, 2012), available at http://aspe.hhs.gov/health/reports/2012/UninsuredYoungAdults/rb.shtml.
- 24 U.S. Department of Health & Human Services, "The Affordable Care Act and Young Adults," available at http://www. hhs.gov/healthcare/facts/factsheets/2014/11/affordablecare-act-young-adults.html (last accessed September 2015).
- 25 Benjamin D. Sommers and others, "Health Reform and Changes in Health Insurance Coverage in 2014," The New England Journal of Medicine 371 (2014): 867-874.
- 26 Council of Economic Advisers, "15 Economic Facts about Millennials," available at http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/ default/files/docs/millennials\_report.pdf (last accessed September 2015).
- 27 Danilo Trisi, "Safety Net Cut Poverty Nearly in Half Last Year, New Census Data Show," Center on Budget Policy and Priorities, October 16, 2014, available at http://www. offthechartsblog.org/safety-net-cut-poverty-nearly-in-halflast-year-new-census-data-show/.
- 28 Arloc Sherman and Danilo Trisi, "Safety Net More Effective Against Poverty Than Previously Thought," Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, May 6, 2015, available at http://www. cbpp.org/research/poverty-and-inequality/safety-net-moreeffective-against-poverty-than-previously-thought.
- 29 Frothingham, West, Boteach, and Vallas, "Strengthening the Child Tax Credit Would Provide Greater Economic Stability for Millennial Parents."
- 30 Center on Budget Policy and Priorities, "Policy Basics: Introduction to the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP)," available at http://www.cbpp.org/cms/index cfm?fa=view&id=2226 (last accessed September 2015)
- Rebecca Vallas, Melissa Boteach, and Rachel West, "Harnessing the EITC and Other Tax Credits to Promote Financial Stability and Economic Mobility,"(Washington: Center for American Progress, 2014), available at https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/poverty/report/2014/10/07/98452/ harnessing-the-eitc-and-other-tax-credits-to-promotefinancial-stability-and-economic-mobility/.
- 32 Bryann Dasilva, Arloca Sherman, and Chye-Ching Huang, "14 Million Millennials Benefit from Po-Work Tax Credits" (Washington: Center on Budget Policy and Priorities, 2015), available at http://www.cbpp.org/research/federal-tax/14million-millennials-benefit-from-pro-work-tax-credits.

.....

- 33 For more information on Unemployment Insurance, see Mark Zandi, "Bolstering the Economy: Helping American Families by Reauthorizing the Payroll Tax Cut and UI Benefits" (2012), available at https://www.economy.com/ mark-zandi/documents/2012-02-07-JEC-Payroll-Tax.pdf; For more information on the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP), see United States Department of Agriculture, "Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) Linkages with the General Economy," available at http://www.ers.usda.gov/topics/food-nutrition-assistance/ supplemental-nutrition-assistance-program-(snap)/ economic-linkages.aspx (last accessed September 2015); For more information on the Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC), see The United States Conference of Mayors, "Dollar Wise Best Practices: Earned Income Tax Credit," available at http://usmayors.org/dollarwise/resources/eitc08.pdf (last accessed September 2015).
- 34 Wayne Vroman, "The Role of Unemployment Insurance as an Automatic Stabilizer During a Recession" (Washington: U.S. Department of Labor, 2010), available at http://wdr. doleta.gov/research/FullText\_Documents/ETAOP2010-10/
- 35 Joanne W. Hsu and others, "Positive Externalities of Social Insurance: Unemployment Insurance and Consumer Credit" (Cambridge, MA: NBER, 2014), available at http://www.nber. org/papers/w20353.pdf.
- 36 Congressional Budget Office, "How extending Certain Unemployment Benefits would Affect Output and Employment in 2014," available at https://www.cbo.gov/publication/44929 (last accessed September 2015).
- 37 For a comprehensive review of the literature, see Kerris Cooper and Kitty Stewart, "Does Money Affect Children's Outcomes?" (London: Joseph Rowntree Foundation, 2002), available at http://www.jrf.org.uk/ publications/does-money-affect-childrens-outcomes; On academic achievement, see, for example, Gordon Dahl and Lance Lochner, "The Impact of Family Income on Child Achievement: Evidence from the Earned Income Tax Credit," American Economic Review 102 (5) (2012): 1927-1956, available at http://dx.doi. org/10.1257/ aer.102.5.1927; On higher education, see Raj Chetty, John N. Friedman, and Jonah Rockoff, "New Evidence on the Long-Term Impacts of Tax Credits." Working Paper (Internal Revenue Service, 2011), available at http:// www.irs.gov/pub/irs soi/11rpchettyfriedmanrockoff. pdf; On health, see Maria Melchior and others, "Why do children from socioeconomically disadvantaged families suffer from poor health when they reach adulthood? A life-course study," American Journal of Epidemiology 166 (8) (2007): 966-974, available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/ pubmed/17641151; On adult employment, see Greg Duncan and Jeanne Brooks-Gunn, eds. Consequences of Growing Up Poor (New York: Russell Sage Foundation, 1999).
- 38 It should be noted that, although declining budget deficits are a positive development for our nation's fiscal outlook, some of deficit reduction we have seen in the past several years has been driven by steep cuts to discretionary spending from the Budget Control Act and its sequestration rules. These cuts have taken a significant toll on vulnerable individuals and communities and have slowed the economic recovery in the wake of the Great Recession.
- 39 Congress has made discretionary spending cuts totaling \$1.8 trillion, even if sequestration is repealed starting in FY 2016. Harry Stein, "Setting the Right Course in the Next Budget Agreement" (Washington: Center for American Progress, 2015), available at https://www.americanprogress. org/issues/budget/report/2015/09/08/120509/setting-theright-course-in-the-next-budget-agreement-2/.
- 40 Ibid.
- 41 Ibid.
- 42 This analysis assumes that the cuts are evenly distributed across the five years between 2021 and 2025. Melissa Boteach, "Proposed Congressional Cuts to Nutrition Assistance Would Cost Jobs," Center for American Progress, April 13, 2015, available at https://www.americanprogress. org/issues/poverty/news/2015/04/13/110861/proposedcongressional-cuts-to-nutrition-assistance-would-cost-jobs/
- 43 The White House, "Remarks by the President on Economic Mobility," Press release, December 4, 2013, available at

- https://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2013/12/04/ remarks-president-economic-mobility
- 44 The White House Council of Economic Advisers, "Chapter 4: Recent Trends in Health Care Costs, Their Impact on the Economy and the Role of the Affordable Care Act" in The 2014 Economic Report of the President (2015), available at https://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/docs/ erp\_2014\_chapter\_4.pdf.
- 45 The White House Council of Economic Advisers, "The Affordable Care Act at Five: Progress on Coverage, Costs, and Quality" (2015), available at https://www.whitehouse.gov/ sites/default/files/docs/ACA\_Anniversary\_Slides\_FINALv2.
- 46 Congressional Budget Office, "Budgetary and Economic Effects of Repealing the Affordable Care Act" (2015), available at https://www.cbo.gov/publication/50252.
- 47 Roy van der Weide and Branko Milanovic, "Inequality is Bad for Growth of the Poor (but Not for that of the Rich)," available at https://ideas.repec.org/p/wbk/wbrwps/6963.html (last accessed September 2015); Jonathan D. Ostry, Andrew Berg, and Charalambos G. Tsangarides, "Redistribution, Inequality, and Growth" (Washington: International Monetary Fund, 2014), available at http://www.imf.org/external/ pubs/ft/sdn/2014/sdn1402.pdf; Harry Stein and Alexandra Thornton, "Laying the Foundation for Inclusive Prosperity" (Washington: Center for American Progress, 2015), available at http://pgpf.org/sites/default/files/05122015\_solutionsinitiative3\_cap.pdf (citing OECD).
- 48 Rebecca Vallas, Christian Weller, Rachel West, and Jackie Odum, "The Effect of Rising Inequality on Social Security" (Washington: Center for American Progress, 2015), available at https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/economy/ report/2015/02/10/106373/the-effect-of-rising-inequalityon-social-security/.
- 49 Rebecca Vallas and Shawn Fremstad, "Social Security Disability Insurance: A Bedrock of Security for American Workers" (Washington: Center for American Progress, 2015), available at https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/poverty/report/2015/06/16/115195/social-security-disabilityinsurance-a-bedrock-of-security-for-american-workers/.
- 50 Ibid.
- Vallas, Weller, West, and Odum, "The Effect of Rising Inequality on Social Security.'
- 52 Stein and Thornton, "Laying the Foundation for Inclusive Prosperity.
- 53 NASI's survey found that large majorities of Americans across income levels, party lines, and generations—not only oppose cutting Social Security, but support strengthening it and are willing to pay more to see that happen, both through a gradual increase in the payroll tax from 6.2 percent to 7.2 percent and eliminating the payroll tax cap so that high earners contribute all year long. Elisa A. Walker, Virginia P. Reno, and Thomas N. Bethell, "Americans Make Hard Choices on Social Security: A Survey with Trade-Off Analysis" (Washington: NASI, 2014), available at https://www.nasi.org/sites/default/files/research/Americans\_Make\_Hard\_ Choices on Social Security.pdf.
- 54 John Halpin and Karl Agne, "50 Years After LBJ's War on Poverty" (Washington: Center for American Progress, 2014), available at https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/poverty/report/2014/01/07/81702/50-years-after-lbjs-waron-poverty/.
- 55 For example, as noted previously, a CAP analysis has shown that the cuts to nutrition assistance in the FY 16 House budget would cost an estimated 286,000 jobs in the first rear alone. See Boteach, "Proposed Congressional Cuts to Nutrition Assistance Would Cost Jobs."
- 56 David Madland, "Growth and the Middle Class," Democracy, 20 (2011), available at http://www.democracyjournal. org/20/growth-and-the-middle-class.php?page=all.
- 57 Ben Olinsky and Sasha Post, "Middle-Out Mobility" (Washington: Center for American Progress, 2015), available at https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/economy/ report/2013/09/04/73285/middle-out-mobility/

- 58 Isaac Shapiro and Richard Kogan, "Congressional Budget Plans get Two-Thirds of Cuts From Programs for People with Low or Moderate Incomes" (Washington: Center on Budget Policy and Priorities, 2015), available at http:// www.cbpp.org/research/federal-budget/congressionalbudget-plans-get-two-thirds-of-cuts-from-programs-forpeople?fa=view&id=5289.
- 59 Stein, "Setting the Right Course in the Next Budget Agreement."
- 60 According to the Office of Management and Budget, the United States spent \$46.4 billion on the CTC in 2014, the equivalent of about \$46.8 billion in 2015. See Office of . Management and Budget, "Analytical Perspectives, Budget of the United States Government, Fiscal Year 2016" (2015). Table 14-1, available at https://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/ default/files/omb/budget/fy2016/assets/ap\_14\_expenditures.pdf; In 2014, there were nearly 74 million childrenwhich the Census Bureau defines as people under age 18—in the United States. Of them, more than 69 million were under age 17, the maximum age of eligibility for the CTC. See Bureau of the Census, "Annual estimates of the resident population by single year of age and sex for the United States: April 1, 2010 to July 1, 2014," available at http://www.census.gov/popest/data/datasets.html (last accessed July 2015); Bureau of the Census, "Current Population Survey -Definitions," available at http://www.census.gov/ cps/about/cpsdef.html (last accessed July 2015). See also West, Boteach and Vallas, "Harnessing the Child Tax Credit as a Tool to Invest in the Next Generation."
- 61 For cost of deferral of taxes on foreign corporate income, see Office of Management and Budget, "Analytical Perspectives, Budget of the United States Government, Fiscal Year 2016" (2016), available at https://www.whitehouse.gov/ sites/default/files/omb/budget/fy2016/assets/ap\_14\_expenditures.pdf; For cost of EITC, \$63 billion in FY 2015, see Elaine Maag and Adam Carasso, "Taxation and the Family: What is the Earned Income Tax Credit?" (Washington: Tax Policy Center, 2014), available at http://www.taxpolicycenter.org/briefing-book/kev-elements/family/eitc.cfm..
- 62 Corporation for National and Community Service, "The Edward M. Kennedy Serve America Act Summary," available at http://www.nationalservice.gov/pdf/09\_0421\_serveact\_summary.pdf (last accessed September 2015).
- 63 Rachel West, Rebecca Vallas, and Melissa Boteach, "A Subsidized Jobs Program for the 21st Century" (Washington: Center for American Progress, 2015), available at https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/poverty/ report/2015/01/29/105622/a-subsidized-jobs-program-forthe-21st-century/.
- 64 Ibid.
- 65 Ken Jacobs, Ian Perry, and Jenifer MacGillvary, "The High Public Cost of Low Wages" (California: University of California, Berkeley Labor Center, 2015), available at http://laborcenter.berkeley.edu/the-high-public-cost-of-low-wages/.
- 66 David Cooper, "Raising the Minimum Wage to \$12 by 2020 Would Lift Wages for 35 Million American Workers" (Washington: Economic Policy Institute, 2015), available at http:// www.epi.org/publication/raising-the-minimum-wageto-12-by-2020-would-lift-wages-for-35-million-americanworkers/.
- 67 Estimate calculated using the BLS inflation calculator. See U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, "CPI Inflation Calculator," available at http://www.bls.gov/data/inflation\_calculator. htm (last accessed September 2015).
- 68 U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, "Characteristics of Minimum Wage Workers, 2014" (2015), available at http://www.bls. gov/opub/reports/cps/characteristics-of-minimum-wageworkers-2014.pdf.
- 69 Author's calculations using Bureau of Labor Statistics data showing that 70 percent of the nation's 3 million minimum wage workers are ages 16 to 34. Bureau of Labor Statistics. "Characteristics of Minimum Wage Workers, 2014" (2014), available at http://www.bls.gov/opub/reports/cps/characteristics-of-minimum-wage-workers-2014.pdf
- 70 Rachel West, "The Murray-Scott Minimum-Wage Bill: A Win-Win for Working Families and Taxpayers," Center for Ameri-

- can Progress, April 30, 2015, available at https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/poverty/news/2015/04/30/111808/ the-murray-scott-minimum-wage-bill-a-win-win-for-working-families-and-taxpavers/.
- 71 Trisi, "Safety Net Cut Poverty Nearly in Half Last Year, New Census Data Show."
- 72 See sources cited in Endnote 37
- 73 Dasilva, Sherman and Huang, "14 Million Millennials Benefit from Po-Work Tax Credits."
- 74 Vallas, Boteach, and West, "Harnessing the EITC and Other Tax Credits to Promote Financial Stability and Economic Mobility."
- 75 Ibid.
- 76 Rebecca Vallas and Joe Valenti, "Asset Limits Are a Barrier to Economic Security and Mobility," Center for American Progress, September 10, 2014, available at https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/poverty/report/2014/09/10/96754/ asset-limits-are-a-barrier-to-economic-security-and-mobili-
- 77 FINRA Investor Education Foundation, "Financial Capability in the United States: Report of Findings from the 2012 National Financial Capability Study" (2013), available at http:// www.usfinancialcapability.org/downloads/NFCS\_2012\_Report\_Natl\_Findings.pdf.
- 78 Maura Caslyn and Lindsay Rosenthal, "How the Affordable Care Act Helps Young Adults," Generation Progress, May 22, 2013, available at http://genprogress.org/voices/2013/05/22/18908/how-the-affordable-care-act-helpsyoung-adults/.
- 79 Frothingham, West, Boteach, and Vallas, "Strengthening the Child Tax Credit Would Provide Greater Economic Stability for Millennial Parents."
- 80 Heather Boushey, Jane Farrell, and John Schmitt, "Job Protection Isn't Enough" (Washington: Center for American Progress, 2013), available at https://www.americanprogress. org/issues/labor/report/2013/12/12/80889/job-protectionisnt-enough/.
- 81 Katie Hamm and Carmel Martin, "A New Vision for Child Care in the United States" (Washington: Center for American Progress, 2015), available at https://www.americanprogress. org/issues/early-childhood/report/2015/09/02/119944/anew-vision-for-child-care-in-the-united-states-3/.
- 82 David A. Bergeron and Carmel Martin, "College for All: Strengthening Our Economy Through College for All" (Washington: Center for American Progress, 2015), available at https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/highereducation/report/2015/02/19/105522/strengthening-oureconomy-through-college-for-all/.
- 83 Elizabeth Baylor and David A. Bergeron, "Public College Quality Compact for Students and Taxpayers" (Washington: Center for American Progress, 2014), available at https:// www.americanprogress.org/issues/higher-education/ report/2014/01/28/83049/public-college-quality-compactfor-students-and-taxpavers/.
- 84 Vallas, Boteach and West, "Harnessing the EITC and Other Tax Credits to Promote Financial Stability and Economic Mobility."
- 85 Ibid.
- 86 Ben Olinsky and Sarah Ayres, "Training for Success: A Policy to Expand Apprenticeships in the United States." (Washington: Center for American Progress, 2013), available at https://cdn.americanprogress.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/11/apprenticeship\_report.pdf.
- 87 The White House, "Fact Sheet: Middle Class Economics: The President's FY 2016 Budget," February 2, 2015, available at https://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2015/02/02/fact-sheetmiddle-class-economics-president-s-fiscal-year-2016-bud-

.....